



STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX BALLOT MEASURES

Results of the November 2000 General Election

Philip Angelides
State Treasurer and Chairman

February 2001

To all interested parties:

Voting is an important opportunity for California's citizens to participate in the State's public decision-making process. Through this fundamental tool, key decisions will be made that ultimately will determine how we invest our precious public resources to help sustain California's economy and social well-being into the foreseeable future.

Therefore, I am pleased to present this report, which summarizes the results of bond and tax measures submitted to voters at the November 2000 General Election. This is the thirteenth in a series of reports on statewide elections in California prepared by the California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission (CDIAC).

As evidenced by this report, Californians' willingness to support prudent public expenditures continues. For example, of the 145 bond and tax-related measures tracked by the Commission, 76 (52 percent) passed and 69 (48 percent) failed. The only state general obligation (GO) bond proposal and 33 of 44 local GO bond proposals (75 percent) were approved. Support for special tax measures, designed to fund public services such as senior programs, libraries, police, and emergency medical services, was mixed, with 23 of 57 passing (40 percent).

These General Election results are notable for three reasons:

- California voters passed Proposition 39, a significant development with respect to future education bond authorizations. The measure authorizes bonds for repair, construction or replacement of school facilities and classrooms if approved by a 55 percent vote of the local electorate (rather than the current two-thirds vote).
- Assuming a 55 percent approval requirement, 33 of the 35 local GO school bond proposals (94 percent) would have passed (only 25 of the 35, or 71 percent, passed with the two-thirds approval currently required).
- Voters approved the only state GO bond on the ballot, a \$500 million authorization.

This report includes a summary of the statewide election results, in addition to data on the individual tax and bond ballot measures. The Commission would like to recognize the assistance of the elections departments of the 58 county clerks' offices in preparing this report.

Sincerely,

Philip Angelides
State Treasurer and Chairman

**STATE AND LOCAL
BOND AND TAX BALLOT MEASURES
RESULTS OF THE NOVEMBER 2000
GENERAL ELECTION**

FEBRUARY 2001

CDIAC 01-01
CALIFORNIA DEBT AND INVESTMENT ADVISORY COMMISSION
P.O. Box 942809
Sacramento, CA 94209-0001
(916) 653-3269

The California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission complies with the Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA). If you need additional information or assistance, please contact the Commission at (916) 653-3269.

CALIFORNIA DEBT AND INVESTMENT ADVISORY COMMISSION

The California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission was created by the Legislature to assist state and local agencies with the issuance, monitoring and management of public debt, and with the investment of public funds through its research and technical assistance programs. CDIAC also acts as the State's clearinghouse for California's public debt issuance information.

The California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission members include:

Philip Angelides
California State Treasurer
and Chair

Gray Davis
Governor
or

Tim Gage
Director
Department of Finance

Kathleen Connell
State Controller

Michael Machado
State Senator

Charles Poochigian
State Senator

Louis J. Papan
State Assemblymember

Vacant
State Assemblymember

Susan Leal
Treasurer
City & County of San Francisco

Donna Linton
Assistant County Administrator
Alameda County

Additional information concerning this report or the programs of the California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission may be obtained by contacting the Commission directly via phone (916) 653-3269, fax (916) 654-7440, e-mail (cdiac@treasurer.ca.gov) or by visiting CDIAC's website:

<http://www.treasurer.ca.gov/stocda.htm>

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission wishes to thank the staff of the Secretary of State's Office and the county clerks' election departments for their assistance in providing the information contain in this publication.

This report was prepared by Robert Ingenito, Berma Williams and Michelle Young of the Commission Staff.

CONTENTS

I.	Introduction	1
II.	General Election Results	1
III.	Summary of State and Local Measures by Purpose	2
	A. Education	4
	B. Capital Improvements and Public Works	4
	C. Public Health and Safety	5
	D. General Government	5
	E. Miscellaneous Projects	6
IV.	Summary of State and Local Measures by Type	6
	A. Bonds	7
	1. State General Obligation Bonds	7
	2. Local General Obligation Bonds	7
	B. Tax Measures	8
	1. State Tax-Related Measures	8
	2. Local Tax Measures	9
V.	Counties Reporting No Local Bond or Tax Measures	10

TABLES & CHARTS

Table 1	Bond and Tax Measures Results	1
Table 2	Results of Bond and Tax Measures, by Purpose	2
Table 3	Results of Bond and Tax Measures, by Type	6
Chart 1	All Proposed Bond and Tax Measures, by Purpose	3
Chart 2	Approved Bond and Tax Measures, by Purpose	3
Chart 3	All Proposed Bond and Tax Measures, by Type	7

SUMMARY TABLES

Appendix A

Table A-1	Summary of State Bond and Tax Measures	A-1
Table A-2	Summary of Local Bond and Tax Measures	A-2

STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX BALLOT MEASURES

Results of 2000 General Election

I. INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of state and local bond and tax ballot measures that appeared on ballots in the November 7, 2000 General Election in California. The data used to develop the report was received from the California Secretary of State's office and the 58 county clerks' election departments. The California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission (CDIAC) has reported on statewide elections since 1986 and publishes complete statistics on bond and tax measures after each election. This is the thirteenth report CDIAC has published summarizing bond and tax elections.

II. GENERAL ELECTION RESULTS

CDIAC tracked 145 bond and tax measures in the 2000 General Election. Unlike the primary election on March 7, 2000, which included five state bond and no state tax measures, the 2000 General Election's statewide ballot included only one bond measure, one bond-related measure, and one tax-related measure. The total number of bond and tax measures in this election was down from the 1998 General Election, when 167 measures were reported to CDIAC. The overall passage rate of 52 percent in the 2000 General Election is slightly higher than the 44 percent of the 1998 General Election. The results of the 2000 General Election are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1

Bond and Tax Measure Results 2000 General Election

	State	Local	Total
Passed	2	74	76
Failed	1	68	69
Total	3	142	145
% Passing	67	52	52

A significant development in this election affecting future bond authorizations was the passage of Proposition 39. This measure authorizes bonds for repair, construction or replacement of school facilities and classrooms if approved by a 55 percent vote of the local electorate (rather than the current two-thirds vote).

Thirty-three of the 44 local general obligation (GO) bond issues were successful under the existing two-thirds supermajority vote requirement. An overwhelming number of these local GO bond measures (23, or 70 percent) were education proposals to fund K-12 facilities. It is noteworthy that, if the vote requirement had been 55 percent approval for education-related bonds (as passed by the voters under Proposition 39), *all but two* of the proposed local education GO bond measures would have passed, including an additional five K-12 facility measures. Moreover, under the current supermajority vote requirement, only 23 of the 57 proposed local special tax measures passed. However, if the voter requirement had been a simple majority, all but six of the special tax measures would have passed.

III. SUMMARY OF STATE AND LOCAL MEASURES BY PURPOSE

In Table 2, the results of the 145 bond and tax measures are classified based on the types of projects they will finance, such as education, capital improvement, public health and safety, general government, and miscellaneous. Chart 1 and Chart 2 provide graphic portrayals of these measures, by purpose. A discussion of each category follows.

Table 2
Results of Bond and Tax Measures, by Purpose
2000 General Election

	Education	Capital Improvement	Public Health & Safety	General Government	Miscellaneous	Total
State:						
Passed	0	0	0	0	2	2
Failed	0	0	0	0	1	1
Total	0	0	0	0	3	3
Local:						
Passed	29	3	11	19	12	74
Failed	10	1	16	36	5	68
Total	39	4	27	55	17	142
State and Local:						
Passed	29	3	11	19	14	76
Failed	10	1	16	36	6	69
Total	39	4	27	55	20	145

Chart 1

**All Proposed Bond and Tax Measures, By Purpose
2000 General Election**





Chart 2

**Approved Bond and Tax Measures, by Purpose
2000 General Election**



A. Education

There were 39 education issues presented to voters in the 2000 General Election, accounting for nearly 30 percent of all measures offered. Overall, 29 of the 39 education measures were approved, yielding an approval rate of 74 percent. This is significantly higher than the 1998 General Election education-related approval rate of 57 percent.

Thirty-four of the 39 education measures (87 percent) were for K-12 education. Five were for community college facilities. Twenty-seven of the 34 K-12 education measures (79 percent) were approved. The passing rate for K-12 measures is significantly higher than the 1998 rate of 56 percent, when 23 of 41 measures passed.

Twenty-five of the 27 successful K-12 education measures were GO bonds; the remaining two were for special taxes to fund education programs. K-12 GO bond amounts ranged from \$450,000 for Fieldbrook Elementary School District in Humboldt County (which passed, receiving 74 percent of the vote) to \$156 million for Poway Unified School District in San Diego County (which failed narrowly, receiving 63 percent approval).

Voters approved two of the five GO bond measures for community college facilities. The successful measures included debt issuance authorizations for \$153 million for Peralta Community College District in Alameda County and \$187 million for Southwestern Community College District in San Diego County. A \$215 million bond measure for Los Rios Community College District of Sacramento, El Dorado, Placer, Solano, and Yolo counties was defeated. Although it won over 70 percent of the vote in Solano and Yolo Counties, the Los Rios measure failed to receive enough votes in the district's other three counties to achieve victory. The other multi-county community college measure, a \$49 million bond for College of the Sequoias in Fresno, Kings, and Tulare counties, fell short of approval by five percentage points; however, this one did not gain the requisite support in any of the three counties. Both of these measures failed for the second time in six months.

B. Capital Improvements and Public Works

Voters passed three of four measures (75 percent) for capital improvement and public works projects, which is up significantly from 1998 when nine of 27 measures (33 percent) were approved. Successful measures included:

- A special tax to fund the operation of Spreckels Veterans Memorial Park (Monterey County);

- Two measures for various capital improvements in the City of Berkeley (Alameda County) and Beyers Lane Community Services District (Nevada County).

Voters in Berkeley also rejected a special tax for the supplemental funding of streetlight projects. The measure received just under 63 percent of the vote.

C. Public Health and Safety

Of the 27 issues to provide, increase or enhance police, fire or public health services, 11 were approved. This 41 percent approval rate for such measures represents a slight decline from the 48 percent approval witnessed in the 1998 General Election, when 16 of 33 measures passed. Voters approved seven of 17 fire protection measures (41 percent) and four of seven public health service measures (57 percent). However, all three measures for increased police protection were defeated. Successful measures included:

- A \$533 million GO bond to fund public health and safety programs in the City of Los Angeles;
- Two special taxes to fund fire protection services in various San Diego County local agencies;
- A special tax for emergency medical services in the City of Albany (Alameda County).

All 16 health and safety measures that failed to capture the two-thirds supermajority needed for passage still received over 50 percent of the vote. Moreover, eight received over 60 percent approval and four came within approximately two percentage points of two-thirds approval.

D. General Government

Voters approved 19 of the 55 measures for general government purposes. The 35 percent passing rate was sharply lower than the 1998 General Election approval rate of 41 percent, when 19 of 46 general government measures passed.

E. Miscellaneous Projects

Included in this category are 17 local measures for libraries, recreation, flood control, and transportation projects. Voters approved 12 of the proposals (71

percent). Libraries account for six of the 12 approved measures (voters rejected three other library measures). Successful library measures included four GO bond measures for four San Francisco Bay Area cities (Alameda, Berkeley, San Francisco, and San Jose), an extension of an existing library tax in Berkeley for four additional years, and a parcel tax for the city of Isleton (Sacramento County).

IV. SUMMARY OF STATE AND LOCAL MEASURES BY TYPE

As shown in Table 3, state and local measures are divided into four categories: GO bonds, special tax, general tax, and other measures. Table 3 summarizes the results of the measures by type.


Table 3

**Results of Bond and Tax Measures, by Type
2000 General Election**

	GO Bonds	Special Tax	General Tax	Other	Total
Passed	34	23	18	1	76
Failed	11	34	23	1	69
Total	45	57	41	2	145
% Passing	76	40	44	50	52

Chart 3

All Proposed Bond and Tax Measures, by Type 2000 General Election



A. Bonds

1. State General Obligation Bonds

Voters approved Proposition 32, the only California GO bond measure on the ballot. The passage of this measure (and the approval of four of five in the 2000 Primary Election) represents a departure from much of the previous decade, when voters were reluctant to authorize new GO debt.

This measure provides \$500 million for the Department of Veterans Affairs to purchase farms, homes, and mobile homes, which are then resold to California veterans. Voters approved the measure by a 67 to 33 percent margin. The bond gained at least 60 percent approval in every county in the state.

2. Local General Obligation Bonds

Local agencies' GO bonds generally fared very well, as 33 of 44 proposals were approved. This 75 percent passing rate for local GO bonds is nearly 20 percentage points higher than the 1998 General Election rate of 56 percent. The overwhelming majority of GO bonds up for approval (30 measures, or 68 percent of the total) were earmarked for K-12 educational

facility improvements with the remaining seven measures designated for other purposes.

The 33 approved local GO bond measures totaled \$2.5 billion. Twenty-three of these measures (\$1.0 billion) were for K-12 school facilities. An additional four were for library-related purposes in four San Francisco Bay Area cities (Alameda, Berkeley, San Francisco and San Jose, a total of \$333 million). The remaining six included a measure in Los Angeles for health care facilities (\$532 million), a pair of community college measures (\$340 million total), and a measure for lighting, playgrounds, and recreation facilities in Santa Clara County (\$228 million).

The remaining 11 GO bond measures, totaling \$777 million, failed to muster the two-thirds vote needed. Of these, seven were for K-12 school facilities and three were for community college facilities. The remaining unsuccessful measure was a crime laboratory in San Mateo County. All 11 measures received over 50 percent of the vote, and had Proposition 39 been in effect prior to this election, eight of the ten unsuccessful education-related measures would have passed, including five of the seven K-12 measures. Instead, the Contra Costa Community College District and both multi-county community college district measures (Los Rios and College of the Sequoias) failed even though they received over 60 percent approval.

B. Tax Measures

1. State Tax-Related Measures

Voters approved one of the two statewide ballot measures with tax implications; however, *neither* measure would have raised taxes directly.

- **Proposition 37.** This measure would have extended the two-thirds voter-approval requirement to certain fees. Specifically, it would have classified as “taxes” some new charges that governments could otherwise impose as “fees.” As taxes, these charges would have been subject to the more difficult approval requirements under Proposition 218. The measure was defeated by a 52 to 48 percent margin. The measure received majority support from the Central Valley and every Southern California county except Los Angeles and Imperial. Opposition came from the San Francisco Bay Area and the coastal counties.
- **Proposition 39.** Proposition 39 authorizes K-12, community college district, and county education office bonds for the purpose of construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, or replacement of school

facilities to be approved by a 55% vote. The proposition also has several accountability requirements, such as the evaluation of safety, class size, and information technology needs; independent performance audits; and independent financial audits. Proposition 39 was approved by a 53 to 47 percent margin with the majority of voter support coming from the San Francisco Bay Area and the coastal counties. Opposition to the measure came primarily from the Central Valley and the inland counties surrounding the Central Valley.

2. Local Tax Measures

Ninety-eight of the 142 local bond and tax measures (69 percent) on the ballot were local tax measures. This number is slightly lower than the 114 local tax measures that appeared in the 1998 General Election, but is identical when expressed as a percentage of all measures (68 percent in both 1998 and 2000). Fifty-nine of the 98 local tax measures in the 2000 General Election passed, a 60 percent approval rate, which is significantly higher than the 1998 rate of 37 percent, when 42 of 114 passed.

Support for local special tax measures was mixed with 23 of 57 gaining approval. While the 40 percent passage rate was slightly higher than the 1998 General Election rate of 34 percent (when 23 of 68 passed), it was significantly higher than the 1996 rate of 26 percent, when 11 of 31 were approved. Unlike previous years, special taxes did not represent the overwhelming majority of local tax measures submitted to the voters. Instead, the 57 special tax measures comprised only 58 percent of total tax proposals. In the 1998 and 2000 primary elections, the figure was 75 percent and 80 percent, respectively.

Voters approved 18 of 41 general tax measures for general government purposes, a slightly higher approval rate than in 1998 when 19 of 46 (41 percent) passed.

With respect to the specific measures, voters approved continuation of two county transportation sales taxes due to expire soon. Achieving two-thirds votes of approval were extensions of the half-cent sales tax in Alameda County and the half-cent sales tax in Santa Clara County to bring Bay Area Rapid Transit (BART) to San Jose. Both received over 70 percent approval.

Other sales tax measures did not fare as well. Voters in Placer County rejected a quarter-cent sales tax increase, with less than 30 percent approval. In Sebastopol (Sonoma County), a 0.125 percent sales tax increase lost with just under majority approval. In Contra Costa County, a temporary 0.125 percent sales tax for libraries failed to receive the

required two-thirds vote, with 66.1 percent voting for approval. In Fresno County, a quarter-cent sales tax increase for arts programs and the zoo fell far below the two-thirds vote requirement, with only 54 percent approval.

New utility user taxes found the going rough. Voters were willing to renew existing taxes, but all new or expanded taxes failed. Arcata (Humboldt County) renewed an existing 3 percent utility user tax for four years. In addition, voters in the unincorporated areas of Alameda County renewed an existing 5.5 percent utility user tax for eight years.

However, a new 2.4 percent utility user tax in Clayton (Contra Costa County) received less than one-third approval, while a 2 percent utility user tax in Kingsburgh (Fresno County) also lost, getting 35 percent approval. A measure in Upland (San Bernardino County), imposing a 4.25 percent utility user tax, lost with only 28 percent approval. In King City (Monterey County), a proposal to increase the utility user tax from two percent to three percent and expand the base to include cellular telephones was also unpopular, receiving a 29 percent “yes” vote. The worst utility user tax defeat came in Cloverdale (Sonoma County), where the measure received only 17 percent approval.

Voters in Riverside County approved an admissions tax ranging from three to seven percent proposed by the City of Indian Wells. The measure is aimed at the Indian Wells Tennis Masters Series. However, in Gilroy (Santa Clara County), voters soundly rejected a proposed five percent admissions tax aimed at the Gilroy Garlic Festival. It received roughly a quarter of the vote.

V. COUNTIES REPORTING NO LOCAL BOND OR TAX MEASURES

Fifteen of the State’s 58 counties reported no local bond or tax measures. They are: Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Del Norte, Imperial, Lake, Madera, Mariposa, Merced, Modoc, San Benito, Sierra, Siskiyou, Ventura, and Trinity.

Table A-1
Summary of State Bond and Tax Measures
November 7, 2000

Proposition Title	Number	Yes	No	Pass/Fail	Amount	Purpose
Veteran's Bond Act of 2000	32	67.2%	32.8%	Pass	\$500,000,000	Miscellaneous
Fees. Vote Requirements. Taxes.	37	47.9%	52.1%	Fail		NA Miscellaneous
School Facilities. 55% Local Vote.	39	53.4%	46.6%	Pass		NA Miscellaneous

**Table A-2
Summary of Local Bond and Tax Measures
November 7, 2000**

COUNTY	AGENCY	MEASURE/ PROP	PASS/F		AIL	TYPE DEBT/TAX	AMOUNT OF BOND OR TAX	PURPOSE
			YES	NO				
Alameda	Alameda County	A	72.8%	27.2%	PASS	General Tax	Extend 5.5% Utility Tax (UT)	General Government
Alameda	Alameda County	B	81.5%	18.5%	PASS	Special Tax	Extend 0.5% sales tax	Transportation
Alameda	Peralta Community College District	E	79.2%	20.8%	PASS	GO Bond	\$153,200,000	College/University Facilities
Alameda	City of San Leandro	G	37.4%	62.6%	FAIL	Special Tax	Repeal 0.6% real property transfer tax	General Government
Alameda	City of Albany	N	78.1%	21.9%	PASS	Special Tax	Increase parcel tax \$18	Public Health & Safety
Alameda	City of Alameda	O	78.4%	21.6%	PASS	GO Bond	\$10,600,000	Library
Alameda	City of Berkeley	P	83.2%	16.8%	PASS	GO Bond	\$5,200,000	Library
Alameda	City of Berkeley	Q	67.9%	32.1%	PASS	GO Bond	\$9,750,000	Fire Protection
Alameda	City of Berkeley	R	76.0%	24.0%	PASS	GO Bond	\$3,250,000	K-12 School Facilities
Alameda	City of Berkeley	S	73.6%	26.4%	PASS	Special Tax	Increase parcel tax to \$0.089/square foot	Capital Improvements
Alameda	City of Berkeley	T	62.9%	37.1%	FAIL	Special Tax	Impose parcel tax from \$0.26 to \$1.04	Capital Improvements
Alameda	City of Berkeley	U	77.7%	22.3%	PASS	General Tax	Increase business license tax	General Government
Alameda	City of Berkeley	V	85.9%	14.1%	PASS	Special Tax	Extend library tax for four years	Library
Alameda	City of Berkeley	W	85.9%	14.1%	PASS	Special Tax	Extend parks tax for four years	Parks/Open Space
Alameda	City of Berkeley	X	87.0%	13.0%	PASS	Special Tax	Extend EMS tax for four years	Public Health & Safety
Alameda	Berkeley USD	AA	83.4%	16.6%	PASS	GO Bond	\$116,500,000	K-12 School Facilities
Alameda	Berkeley USD	BB	78.4%	21.6%	PASS	Special Tax	Impose parcel tax from \$0.045 to \$0.0675	K-12 School Facilities
Butte	Oroville High School	CC	55.1%	44.9%	FAIL	GO Bond	\$6,610,000	K-12 School Facilities
Butte	El Medio FPD	Y	54.8%	45.2%	FAIL	Special Tax	Increase parcel tax	Fire Protection
Colusa	City of Williams	A	55.8%	44.2%	PASS	General Tax	Impose Transient Occupancy Tax (TOT)	General Government
Contra Costa	Contra Costa County	L	66.1%	33.9%	FAIL	Special Tax	Increase sales tax by 0.125%/8 years	Library
Contra Costa	Contra Costa County Area P-2	Y	53.4%	46.6%	FAIL	Special Tax	Increase parcel tax from \$18 to \$54	Police Protection
Contra Costa	Contra Costa CCD	K	63.4%	36.6%	FAIL	GO Bond	\$236,000,000	College/University Facilities
Contra Costa	West Contra Costa USD	M	77.5%	22.5%	PASS	GO Bond	\$150,000,000	K-12 School Facilities
Contra Costa	City of Clayton	P	26.5%	73.5%	FAIL	Special Tax	2.4% excise tax on gas and electricity	General Government
Fresno	Fresno County	A	54.3%	45.7%	FAIL	Special Tax	Increase sales tax by 0.25 percent	Recreational Facilities
Fresno	City of Fresno	C	29.8%	70.2%	FAIL	General Tax	Increase TOT from 12% to 13%	General Government
Fresno	City of Kingsburgh	E	35.3%	64.7%	FAIL	General Tax	Impose 2% utility tax	General Government
Glenn	Glenn County	W	43.0%	57.0%	FAIL	General Tax	Increase TOT from 5% to 10%	General Government
Glenn	City of Willows	X	54.3%	45.7%	PASS	General Tax	Increase TOT from 8% to 10%	General Government

Table A-2
Summary of Local Bond and Tax Measures
November 7, 2000

COUNTY	AGENCY	MEASURE/ PROP	PASS/F		AIL	TYPE DEBT/TAX	AMOUNT OF BOND OR TAX	PURPOSE
			YES	NO				
Glenn	City of Orland	Y	35.0%	65.0%	FAIL	General Tax	Increase TOT from 8% to 10%	General Government
Humboldt	Peninsula Union SD	N	61.5%	38.5%	FAIL	GO Bond	\$1,080,000	K-12 School Facilities
Humboldt	City of Arcata	P	57.5%	42.5%	PASS	General Tax	Impose 3% Utility Tax	General Government
Humboldt	Fieldbrook Elementary SD	Q	74.1%	25.9%	PASS	GO Bond	\$450,000	K-12 School Facilities
Inyo	Southern Inyo FPD	F	58.3%	41.7%	FAIL	Special Tax	Increase parcel tax	Fire Protection
Kern	Beardsley SD	A	73.7%	26.3%	PASS	GO Bond	\$8,000,000	K-12 School Facilities
Kern	Delano SD	B	80.8%	19.2%	PASS	GO Bond	\$45,000,000	K-12 School Facilities
Kern	City of Tehachapi	E	44.8%	55.2%	FAIL	General Tax	Increase TOT from 8% to 12%	General Government
Kern	Stallion Springs CSD	F	64.5%	35.5%	FAIL	Special Tax	Impose parcel tax of \$25 to \$50/year	Police Protection
Kern	Tehapachi Valley Healthcare	G	61.4%	38.6%	FAIL	Special Tax	Impose parcel tax of \$20 to \$27/year (2 yrs)	General Government
Kings	City of Avenal	A	39.6%	60.4%	FAIL	General Tax	Increase TOT from 6% to 10%	General Government
Lassen	Westwood Sanitation District	U	54.5%	45.5%	FAIL	Special Tax	\$32 per parcel	Fire Protection
Los Angeles	Charter Oak USD	C	73.1%	26.9%	PASS	GO Bond	\$30,000,000	K-12 School Facilities
Los Angeles	City of El Segundo	D	63.9%	36.1%	FAIL	Special Tax	10% gross receipts tax for parking services	General Government
Los Angeles	Garvey SD	G	74.8%	25.2%	PASS	GO Bond	\$15,000,000	K-12 School Facilities
Los Angeles	City of Hawthorne	H	38.5%	61.5%	FAIL	General Tax	Increase TOT from 8% to 12%	General Government
Los Angeles	City of Long Beach	J	69.6%	30.4%	PASS	General Tax	Reduce Utility Tax	General Government
Los Angeles	City of Los Angeles	F	75.6%	24.4%	PASS	GO Bond	\$532,648,000	Public Health & Safety
Los Angeles	Manhattan Beach USD	M	71.2%	28.8%	PASS	GO Bond	\$26,000,000	K-12 School Facilities
Los Angeles	Redondo Beach USD	E	75.4%	24.6%	PASS	GO Bond	\$52,000,000	K-12 School Facilities
Los Angeles	Rosemead SD	RR	77.8%	22.2%	PASS	GO Bond	\$30,000,000	K-12 School Facilities
Los Angeles	Santa Monica-Malibu USD	Y	79.2%	20.8%	PASS	Special Tax	Impose special tax	K-12 Education
Marin	Shoreline USD	A	81.1%	18.9%	PASS	Special Tax	Renew annual \$120 parcel tax	K-12 Education
Marin	Shoreline USD	B	80.8%	19.2%	PASS	GO Bond	\$7,000,000	K-12 School Facilities
Marin	Kentwood Police Protection Dist.	D	62.2%	37.8%	FAIL	Special Tax	Increase tax from \$195 to \$260	Police Protection
Marin	Flood Control District #7	F	59.3%	40.7%	FAIL	Special Tax	\$20/month per living unit, \$144 per acre	Flood Control
Mendocino	Redwood Valley/Capella FD	H	68.4%	31.6%	PASS	Special Tax	Increase parcel tax from \$16.30 to \$35	Fire Protection
Mendocino	Mendocino Health Care District	I	81.8%	18.2%	PASS	GO Bond	\$5,500,000	Public Health & Safety
Mendocino	City of Ukiah	M	44.3%	55.7%	FAIL	General Tax	Increase TOT from 8% to 10%	General Government
Mono	Mono County	A	62.8%	37.2%	FAIL	Special Tax	Extend TOT to cover campgrounds	Public Health & Safety

**Table A-2
Summary of Local Bond and Tax Measures
November 7, 2000**

COUNTY	AGENCY	MEASURE/ PROP	PASS/F		AIL	TYPE DEBT/TAX	AMOUNT OF BOND OR TAX	PURPOSE
			YES	NO				
Monterey	Spreckels Memorial District	B	73.8%	26.3%	PASS	Special Tax	\$95 per parcel, not to exceed 5 years.	Capital Improvements
Monterey	Sand City	F	58.6%	41.4%	PASS	General Tax	Increase TOT from 8% to 14%	General Government
Monterey	King City	H	28.5%	71.5%	FAIL	General Tax	Apply UT to cell phones and increase to 3%	General Government
Napa	Napa County	I	63.7%	36.3%	FAIL	Special Tax	Increase TOT from 10.5% to 12%	General Government
Nevada	Penn Valley FPD	K	59.2%	40.8%	FAIL	Special Tax	Repeal earlier tax and adopt \$96 per parcel	Fire Protection
Nevada	Beyers Lane CSD	L	85.2%	14.8%	PASS	Special Tax	\$100 per parcel	Capital Improvements
Orange	City of Costa Mesa	O	52.5%	47.5%	FAIL	Special Tax	Increase TOT from 6% to 8%	General Government
Orange	City of Garden Grove	P	44.9%	55.1%	FAIL	General Tax	Increase TOT from 10% to 12%	General Government
Orange	City of Huntington Beach	Q	45.9%	54.1%	FAIL	General Tax	Expand Utility Tax	General Government
Placer	Placer County	W	27.4%	72.6%	FAIL	General Tax	Increase sales tax from 7.25% to 7.5%	General Government
Placer	New Castle FPD	Y	64.8%	35.2%	FAIL	Special Tax	Increase tax on bus. to \$.010/sq. foot	Fire Protection
Plumas	Seneca Healthcare District	S	56.2%	43.8%	FAIL	Special Tax	\$120/yr improved parcel, \$60 unimproved	Public Health & Safety
Riverside	City of Indian Wells	H	65.1%	34.9%	PASS	General Tax	Admissions tax ranging from 3% to 7%	General Government
Riverside	Palm Springs USD	S	73.1%	26.9%	PASS	GO Bond	\$75,000,000	K-12 School Facilities
Sacramento	Sacramento County	P	66.0%	34.0%	FAIL	Special Tax	\$22 parcel tax in unincorporated areas.	Library
Sacramento	City of Galt	T	58.9%	41.1%	FAIL	Special Tax	\$22 parcel tax in unincorporated areas.	Library
Sacramento	City of Isleton	V	68.7%	31.3%	PASS	Special Tax	\$22 parcel tax in unincorporated areas.	Library
Sacramento	American River FPD	Q	70.7%	29.3%	PASS	Special Tax	\$100 parcel tax	Fire Protection
San Bernardino	County Service Area 70, Zone L	J	25.8%	74.2%	FAIL	Special Tax	\$66 for water services	General Government
San Bernardino	City of Chino Hills	K	55.5%	44.5%	PASS	General Tax	Establish TOT Tax of 10 percent	General Government
San Bernardino	City of Redlands	L	42.7%	57.3%	FAIL	Special Tax	Increasing special tax	General Government
San Bernardino	City of Upland	N	28.3%	71.7%	FAIL	Special Tax	Impose a 4.25 percent utility user tax	General Government
San Bernardino	Baker CSD	P	76.5%	23.5%	PASS	General Tax	Increase sales tax by 0.5 percent	General Government
San Bernardino	Lucerne Valley USD	H	55.2%	44.8%	FAIL	GO Bond	\$3,000,000	K-12 School Facilities
San Bernardino	Rim of the World USD	I	52.1%	47.9%	FAIL	GO Bond	\$41,000,000	K-12 School Facilities
San Diego	County Service Area 109	GG	68.4%	31.6%	PASS	Special Tax	\$25 special tax	Fire Protection
San Diego	County Service Area 111	HH	65.6%	34.4%	FAIL	Special Tax	\$75 special tax	Fire Protection
San Diego	County Service Area 112	JJ	66.0%	34.0%	FAIL	Special Tax	\$65 special tax	Fire Protection
San Diego	City of Santee	U	44.7%	55.3%	FAIL	General Tax	Increase TOT from 6 to 15 percent	General Government
San Diego	Pine Valley FPD	CC	55.9%	44.1%	FAIL	Special Tax	\$80 parcel tax	Fire Protection

**Table A-2
Summary of Local Bond and Tax Measures
November 7, 2000**

COUNTY	AGENCY	MEASURE/ PROP	PASS/F		AIL	TYPE DEBT/TAX	AMOUNT OF BOND OR TAX	PURPOSE
			YES	NO				
San Diego	Valley Center FPD	EE	67.4%	32.6%	PASS	Special Tax	\$3 per unit tax	Fire Protection
San Diego	Cajon Valley USD	X	68.7%	31.3%	PASS	GO Bond	\$75,000,000	K-12 School Facilities
San Diego	Poway USD	Y	62.9%	37.1%	FAIL	GO Bond	\$156,000,000	K-12 School Facilities
San Diego	Santee SD	Z	62.7%	37.3%	FAIL	GO Bond	\$28,000,000	K-12 School Facilities
San Diego	Sweetwater Union HSD	BB	72.4%	27.6%	PASS	GO Bond	\$89,354,000	K-12 School Facilities
San Diego	Southwestern CCD	AA	69.9%	30.1%	PASS	GO Bond	\$187,000,000	College/University Facilities
San Francisco	City & County of San Francisco	I	36.3%	63.7%	FAIL	General Tax	Business tax revision	General Government
San Francisco	City & County of San Francisco	A	74.4%	25.6%	PASS	GO Bond	\$105,865,000	Library
San Joaquin	City of Stockton	Z	47.1%	52.9%	FAIL	General Tax	Increase TOT from 8% to 9%	General Government
San Joaquin	Stockton USD	G	76.8%	23.2%	PASS	GO Bond	\$80,000,000	K-12 School Facilities
San Luis Obispo	City of Arroyo Grande	R	63.2%	36.8%	PASS	General Tax	Increase TOT from 6% to 10%	General Government
San Mateo	San Mateo County	B	65.3%	34.7%	FAIL	GO Bond	\$13,000,000	Public Health & Safety
San Mateo	Ravenswood USD	C	85.7%	14.3%	PASS	GO Bond	\$10,000,000	K-12 School Facilities
San Mateo	San Mateo Union HSD	D	72.2%	27.8%	PASS	GO Bond	\$137,500,000	K-12 School Facilities
Santa Barbara	City of Santa Barbara	B	70.8%	29.2%	PASS	General Tax	Increase TOT from 10% to 12%	General Government
Santa Barbara	Santa Maria Joint Union HSD	C	67.9%	32.1%	PASS	GO Bond	\$30,000,000	K-12 School Facilities
Santa Barbara	Santa Ynez Valley Union HSD	D	54.9%	45.1%	FAIL	GO Bond	\$28,000,000	K-12 School Facilities
Santa Clara	Santa Clara Valley Trans. Auth.	A	70.6%	29.4%	PASS	General Tax	Extend 0.5 cent sales tax	Transportation
Santa Clara	Santa Clara Valley Water District	B	66.9%	33.1%	PASS	Special Tax	Impose parcel tax of \$39	Flood Control
Santa Clara	City of Gilroy	Q	45.5%	54.5%	FAIL	General Tax	Increase TOT from 9% to 10%	General Government
Santa Clara	City of Gilroy	R	25.4%	74.6%	FAIL	General Tax	5% admissions tax on certain events	General Government
Santa Clara	City of Milpitas	I	59.0%	41.0%	PASS	General Tax	Increase TOT from 8% to 10%	General Government
Santa Clara	City of San Jose	O	75.8%	24.2%	PASS	GO Bond	\$211,790,000	Library
Santa Clara	City of San Jose	P	78.7%	21.3%	PASS	GO Bond	\$228,030,000	Recreational Facilities
Santa Clara	Los Altos School District	E	76.0%	24.0%	PASS	Special Tax	Extend \$264 parcel tax for four years	K-12 School Facilities
Santa Clara	Orchard School District	D	76.8%	23.2%	PASS	GO Bond	\$16,000,000	K-12 School Facilities
Santa Cruz	City of Santa Cruz	U	54.0%	46.0%	FAIL	Special Tax	Increase TOT from 10% to 12%	General Government
Santa Cruz	Scotts Valley FPD	V	64.5%	35.5%	FAIL	Special Tax	\$51 per "service unit"	Fire Protection
Santa Cruz	San Lorenzo Valley USD	S	74.0%	26.0%	PASS	GO Bond	\$18,500,000	K-12 School Facilities
Shasta	Shasta County	A	50.4%	49.6%	FAIL	Special Tax	Increase parcel tax by \$30	Fire Protection

**Table A-2
Summary of Local Bond and Tax Measures
November 7, 2000**

COUNTY	AGENCY	MEASURE/ PROP	PASS/F		AIL	TYPE DEBT/TAX	AMOUNT OF BOND OR TAX	PURPOSE
			YES	NO				
Sonoma	Sonoma County	H	37.4%	62.6%	FAIL	General Tax	Increase TOT from 9% to 12%	General Government
Sonoma	City of Cloverdale	K	17.3%	82.7%	FAIL	General Tax	Increase utility user tax from 2% to 5%	General Government
Sonoma	City of Santa Rosa	J	43.2%	56.8%	FAIL	General Tax	Increase TOT from 9% to 12%	General Government
Sonoma	City of Sebastopol	P	67.6%	32.4%	PASS	General Tax	Increase TOT from 6% to 10%	General Government
Sonoma	City of Sebastopol	Q	50.4%	49.6%	FAIL	Special Tax	Increase sales tax by 0.125%	General Government
Sonoma	Rincon Valley FPD	T	70.7%	29.3%	PASS	Special Tax	Impose parcel tax of \$36	Fire Protection
Stanislaus	City of Modesto	F	46.9%	53.1%	FAIL	General Tax	Increase TOT from 9% to 11%	General Government
Stanislaus	Newman-Crows Landing USD	E	72.6%	27.4%	PASS	GO Bond	\$11,350,000	K-12 School Facilities
Sutter	Sutter County	XX	50.3%	49.7%	PASS	General Tax	Impose a TOT	General Government
Sutter	Yuba City	YY	52.5%	47.5%	PASS	General Tax	Impose a TOT	General Government
Tehama	Tehama County	C	55.3%	44.7%	PASS	General Tax	Repeal \$13.50 Landfill Tax	General Government
Tulare	Tulare County Waterworks Dist.	R	66.7%	33.3%	PASS	Special Tax	Impose parcel tax of \$120	General Government
Tulare	Woodlake FPD	Q	75.7%	24.3%	PASS	Special Tax	Increase special taxes by \$12/home	Fire Protection
Tulare	Liberty Elementary SD	P	69.8%	30.2%	PASS	GO Bond	\$1,200,000	K-12 School Facilities
Tuolumne	Twain-Harte CSD	V	61.5%	38.5%	FAIL	Special Tax	Increase parcel tax by \$25	General Government
Yolo	Yolo County	P	38.4%	61.6%	FAIL	General Tax	Increase TOT from 8% to 12%	General Government
Yolo	City of Davis	O	70.5%	29.5%	PASS	Special Tax	Impose parcel tax	Recreational Facilities
Yuba	Yuba County	S	46.6%	53.4%	FAIL	General Tax	Impose a TOT	General Government
Yuba	Loma-Rice-Browns Valley CSD	T	28.8%	71.2%	FAIL	Special Tax	Impose a special tax	General Government
Yuba	Camptonville	U	71.9%	28.1%	PASS	Special Tax	Impose a special tax	General Government
Los Rios Community College District		R	62.5%	37.5%	FAIL	GO Bond	\$215,000,000	College/University Facilities
College of the Sequoias		O	61.3%	38.7%	FAIL	GO Bond	\$49,200,000	College/University Facilities